

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
09/939,225	ANGELICO ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

**Status of Application:**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Time:

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
24-33

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Mr. Sayre agreed to cancel the claims drawn to the non-elected invention.*

### Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)